

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,021	09/19/2001	Kazuhiko Sakamoto		9034	
75	7590 02/24/2005			EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.			RAMPURIA, SATISH		
Suite 370 1800 Diagonal Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22314			2124		
		DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s	)			
Office Action Summary		09/955,021	SAKAMOTO	SAKAMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Satish S. Rampur	ia 2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe ation.  ys, a reply within the statutory mining period will apply and will expire Soby statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considere BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>21 October 2004 (Ame</u>	<u>ndment)</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	oxtimes This action is non-fina	l.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠	<u></u>						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been recei cuments have been recei ne priority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	ved. ved in Application No. ve been received in this Nat a)).				
•							
Attachmen  1) Notice	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413)				
2)	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	948) 5) 🔲 1	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Applicatio Other:	n (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/955,021

Art Unit: 2124

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the amendment received on 10/21/2004.
- 2. The objection to use of trademarks is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.
- 3. The rejections under double patenting to the claims 5-17 is withdrawn in view of applicant's terminal disclaimer filed on 10/21/2004 with the amendment.
- 4. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 to the claims 5-17 is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments.
- 5. Claims canceled by the applicant None.
- 6. Claims amended by the applicant 5, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17.
- 7. Claims pending in the application 5-17.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 9. Claims 5, 9, and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The subject matter, "running the program together" is not properly described in the application as recited in amended claims 5, 9, and 13-17. The specification as originally filed,

Page 2

Art Unit: 2124

only discloses the method of generating a combined program by preparing a process content of event procedures of program modules, arranging the program modules, and combining program modules while displaying the process content of the event procedures and selecting them, but does not disclose an embodiment where the program run together, as claimed. Applicant's arguments indicate that this is an important feature of the invention. However, the specification does not describe this feature so as to convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that applicant has possession of this claimed invention.

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-17 have been considered see above.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satish S. Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 6:00 pm Monday to Friday except every other Friday and federal holidays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kakali Chaki** can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,021

Art Unit: 2124

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish S. Rampuria Patent Examiner Art Unit 2124 02/22/2005

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100